109 Secret

OUT59133

TOPSECRET 182047	7 OTTE	7796 - 1835 JUL 18	01 0	25X
I U P S E G R E I 102847		1.30	- 21 02 2	25X
SUBJ: EVALUATION OF KOD	AK SPECIAL HIG	H DEFINITION AER	IAL	
FILM (SO-362) USED ON MI	SSION 4029		. *	
1. A SUBJECTIVE APPR	AISAL OF THE S	0-362 USED ON RE	VOLUTIONS	_
95 AND 96 INDICATES THAT	THE NEGATIVES	ARE OF A HIGHER	DENSITY	
LEVEL THAN 3484 NEGATIVE				1 9 JUL 1966
PHOTOGRAPHY RECORDED ON			N IS	OV Ro. Office Action
			RAME 3	File os
FROM MISSION 4029 AND RE	V 30 FRAME 13	FROM MISSION 402	8	ADMIN SEC BR
WHICH COVER THE SAME ARE	A. ALTHOUGH T	ME FOLLOWING DIS	SIMILAR-	P & DS CSD
ITIES EXIST CERTAIN DED	CTIONS COULD B	E MADE.		CPI CP
FILM TYPE	3404	50-362		PSD
REV	30	95		3,45 TID
MISSION	4028	4629	9	IAD
CONE ANGLE	18 DEGREES	42 DEGREES		PAG DIAXX-4
FILM VELOCITY	3.4496"/SEC	2.6369"/SEC		SPAD NSA-LO
ALTITUDE	86.133 NM	85.735 NM		DIA-AP
SOLAR ELEVATION	67.5 DEGREES	74.7 DEGREES		Tygane d ooy
SOLAR AZIMUTH	89.4 DEGREES	77.5 DEGREES		25X1
VEHICLE AZIMUTH	261.9 DEGREES	176.8 DEGREES		
SLIT WIDTH	0.0085*	9.9948"		1 100 t
	TOP SE	RET		Salated from automatic demograding and declarationing

---2---

16 MAY 1966 9 JUNE 1966 DATE 7.779 DEGREES -43.249 DEGREES VEHICLE ROLL ANGLE 111,000 86,200 SCALE FULL FULL DEVELOPMENT LEVEL YARDLEIGH TRENTON PROCESSOR ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS CAT 2 CAT 3 3.7 AERIAL EXPOSURE INDEX 8.0 RMS GRANULARITY 0.018 0.023 RESOLVING POWER 1000:1 475 L/NM 375 L/MM 200 L/MM 200 L/MM 1.6:1

- 2. TARGETS SCRUTINIZED BY INTERPRETERS AND TECHNICAL ANALYSTS TOGETHER WITH 100X MAGNIFICATIONS SUBSTANTIATE THE FOLLOWING DEDUCTIONS:
- A. THE RESOLUTION OF THE SO-362 IS LESS THAN HALF THE RESOLUTION OF TYPE 3404 ON THE FRAMES COMPARED.
- B. THE COARSER GRAIN STRUCTURE OF THE SO-362 MAY ACCOUNT FOR SOME LOSS IN RESOLUTION.
- C. A SLIGHT VEHICLE INDUCED SMEAR IN THE SO-362 IMAGERY ACCOUNTS FOR SOME LOSS OF RESOLUTION.
- D. THE SO-362 IMAGERY IS NOT ADEQUATE FOR MAGNI-FICATIONS IN EXCESS OF 30X. THE "GRAININESS" CAUSES A LOSS IN DETAIL AT HIGH MAGNIFICATION.
- E. REPRODUCTIONS OF SO-362 ON 8430 ARE TYPICAL.

 THE GRAIN STRUCTURE OF THE ORIGINAL IS NOT COMPOUNDED

---3---

WITH THE GRAIN OF THE REPRODUCTIONS.

- 3. THE OBLIQUITY AND SCALE OF THE TWO FRAMES COMPARED ARE QUITE DISSIMILAR. SUFFICIENT MATERIAL IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR A MORE COMPREHENSIVE STUDY. AN INTERIM EVALUATION BASED UPON THIS TEST INDICATES THAT THE SO-362 DOES NOT ADEQUATELY PROVIDE THE RESOLUTION REQUIRED BY THE INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY. THE INADEQUACIES ARE ATTRIBUTED TO:
 - A. SCALE OF IMAGERY EVALUATED
 - B. IMAGE MOTION
 - C. GRAIN STRUCTURE
 - D. LESS THAN OPTIMUM EXPOSURE
- 4. IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT ADDITIONAL TESTS BE CONDUCTED BEFORE SPECIFIC CONCLUSIONS BE DETERMINED.

TOPSECRET

-END OF MESSAGE-